OPINION 1629

Helophorus brevipalpis Bedel, 1881 (Insecta, Coleoptera): given precedence over Helophorus creticus Kiesenwetter, 1858

Ruling

- (1) Under the plenary powers the specific name *brevipalpis* Bedel, 1881, as published in the binomen *Helophorus brevipalpis*, is hereby given precedence over the specific name *creticus* Kiesenwetter, 1858, as published in the binomen *Helophorus creticus*, whenever the two names are considered to be synonyms.
- (2) The following names are hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:
 - (a) brevipalpis Bedel, 1881, as published in the binomen Helophorus brevipalpis, with the endorsement that it is to be given precedence over creticus Kiesenwetter, 1858, as published in the binomen Helophorus creticus, whenever the two names are considered to be synonyms.
 - (b) creticus Kiesenwetter, 1858, as published in the binomen Helophorus creticus, with the endorsement that it is not to be given priority over brevipalpis Bedel, 1881, as published in the binomen Helophorus brevipalpis, whenever the two names are considered to be synonyms.

History of Case 2690

An application for *Helophorus brevipalpis* Bedel, 1881 to be given precedence over *H. creticus* Kiesenwetter, 1858 was received from Dr R.B. Angus (*Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, University of London, Egham, Surrey, U.K.*) on 11 November 1988. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 46: 173–175 (September 1989). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals. No comments were received.

Decision of the Commission

On 1 September 1990 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 46: 174. At the close of the voting period on 1 December 1990 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 23: Bayer, Bock, Cocks, Cogger, Corliss, Dupuis, Hahn, Kabata, Kraus, Lehtinen, Mahnert, Minelli, Mroczkowski, Nielsen, Nye, Ride, Savage, Schuster, Starobogatov, Thompson, Trjapitzin, Uéno, Willink

Negative votes — 4: Heppell, Holthuis, Macpherson and Martins de Souza.

No vote was received from Halvorsen.

Ride would have preferred to suppress the older name. Heppell commented: 'As not a single comment was received in support of this application, and as the species concerned are not stated to be of any economic importance whatsoever, I am not convinced that use of the plenary powers for conservation of the junior name is justified'. Martins de Souza commented that the application recognized that *Helophorus creticus* and *H. brevipalpis* might eventually prove to represent distinct taxa (para. 7) and that subspecies of *brevipalpis* had previously been described (para. 6); a decision in favour of the application could be temporary and was therefore unacceptable.

Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on an Official List by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

brevipalpis, Helophorus, Bedel, 1881, Annales de la Société Entomologique de France, 6 (Supplement), p. 301.

creticus, Helophorus, Kiesenwetter, 1858, Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift, 2: 40.